The State of Collaborative Delivery: WDBC Research Results



2017 Regional Conference 'Progressive Design Build: Improving the Process' May 12, 2017

WDBC Mission To evolve best practices for successful implementation of water projects through collaborative delivery methods, by facilitating thought leadership with stakeholders through research, education and communication.



WDBC Education Platform





- Handbook, 4th Edition, 2016
- Procurement Guides
 - CMAR
 - Progressive Design-Build (PDB)
- Fixed Price Design Build (FPDB)
- Original Research
- Publications, Community Forums, and Blogs
- DBIA Partnership
 - Best Practices
 - W/WW Specialty Conference
 - PDB Contract Document

WDBC Advisor Program



UCI

CONSTRUCTION

evoqua

GOODWIN BROTHERS

on enertab compon

CONSTRUCTION



WDBC 2017 Research Program





Research Objectives and Team



- Macroeconomics: Determine Size & Complexion Market Demand for DB Contracting in the Domestic, Municipal Water Space – 2014 to 2021
- Microeconomics: Determine the Plans for DB Contracting in the Next 5 Years of the Nation's Top 100 Water/Wastewater Utilities.
- Establish & Document Standard Methods for Annual Updates

Rubin Mallows Worldwide/UNC, Environmental Finance Ctr.

- 100+ Similar Assignments
 - ✓ NACWA
 - √ WEF
 - ✓ WERF
 - √ AWWA
 - √ USEPA
 - ✓ SWANA

Lead Researcher: Dr. Kenneth Rubin, Managing Director

- BSCE, Cornell; MSPH, UNC/Chapel Hill; Ph.D., Harvard
- ✓ Consults with Fortune 500, utilities, professional associations, investment bank & governments worldwide.

Research Approach: Look Back...and Look Forward



 Census Government Expenditures Series: most reliable and comprehensive time-series of local water and wastewater capex Step National Use to forecast the aggregate water and wastewater market Aggregate Capex EPA's Needs Surveys: provides relatively reliable detail on composition of future capex by project type and location Step Use to segment aggregates Project Segment vpes Multiple Sources: WDBC and DBIA project data & member input; proprietary datasets; Top 100 survey and interviews Step Design-· Use to estimate percent of each segment, location, size of Build project, etc. that could go DB Decision Rules. Top 100 CIP Data Compilation: survey of planned capex of top 100 water and wastewater utilities (to be defined further) Use as basis of scale-up to nation (second forecast) and Step Top 100 contribute to DB decision rules 4 CIP Scale Up As QC: use above against historical aggregates as check against known DB market from past WDBC initiative and other sources Step Back Test 5 Method



DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

WDBC 2017 Research Program





Background, Trends, and Drivers





Regulations and demographics drive the US market for water and wastewater services, but it is not immune to macro perturbations, like the financial crisis of 2008/2009, which resulted in crowding and subsequent deferral of capital investment – we believe this reversed in 2015/2016

Look Back to 2013 Indicates Uptick in Market

Our look back is based on portal project profiles, web-based sources, and WDBC member survey of DB project activity in the 2013-2016 post-recession period.

Highlights

- 8 companies reporting
- 424 projects reported awarded and under construction
- WW entities leading with 198 projects, W entities at 110





- Award rate at approx. 100 projects/ year
- Total project cost \$18.2B
- "Other" client category includes USACE



Historic Trend 2103-29017

((()))

Market indicators of eight WDBC companies suggest growth in both size and number of DB water/wastewater projects over the period 2013-2017



Treatment projects lead in value and count, amounting to nearly 78% of all projects (WW: 47% and W: 33%). Advanced treatment leads at 38% of all projects. Source water projects are at around 9%.





WDBC 2017 Research Program





DESIGN-BUIL

DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

Forecast Model Framework

Not to scale

Objective: Develop a spreadsheet tool to forecast the water and wastewater design-build market over the next five years, 2017-2021, based on best available, internally consistent national databases and decision rules extracted from market experience



Apply Decision Rules by State and Project Type

> Adjust forecasts based on historic trends, "Top 100" CIPs, and utility interviews

Sources:

- US Bureau of the Census
- State/EPA Needs Surveys
- WDBC Member Opinions
- Analyses of WDBC Projects
- Top 100 Database



Market Capex Forecast





Type of Project

DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

REGION

DESIGN-BI

Portions © 2017 Water Design-Build Council

residuals)

Design-Build Market Forecast (\$)

Forecasted Potential Capital Outlays on Design-Build Water and Wastewater Projects throughout the U.S.



((@🛎



Forecasted Proportion of Potential Capital Outlays on Design-Build Water and Wastewater Projects throughout the U.S.



DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

Portions © 2017 Water Design-Build Council

Water and Wastewater Design-Build Forecasts





2017 Design Build Market by Type of Project





Top Ten State **Water** Design-Build Markets in 2017





DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

Top Ten State **Wastewater** Design-Build Markets in 2017





DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

FL, CA Lead in Number of Projects, TX in Project Value



In a sample of 100 water and wastewater utility CIPs, of some 800 planned or potential DB projects, 60% are wastewater, which on average tend to be larger; Texas leads with fewer, but larger projects compared to Florida or California where we expect a greater number of smaller DB projects



WDBC 2017 Research Program





DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

ROCKY MOUNTAIN

DESIGN

How to Interpret the Forecast

"High forecast" on how much may be spent on DB projects

Determined as the fraction of forecasted total capital outlays that reflect projects that, forward-looking, are expected to be DB (A1 and A2-type projects) and other projects that have characteristics that make them potential projects for DB (B-type projects). This is adjusted for each state (based on how strong the DB market will be in each state) and for each project type (based on forward-looking C.I.P. data obtained from the Top 100 utilities).



"Point estimate" on how much may be spent on DB projects

This reflects our single-value estimate of the DB forecasts. It is the point between the low and high forecasts that, in 2016, estimates \$4.1 billion in DB outlays, which is a more aggressive estimate of the annualized revenues collected by WDBC members for DB projects, extrapolated to a national total assuming that WDBC members constitute 60% of all DB revenues for water and wastewater projects. The scale between the low and high forecasts increases by 7.5% each year to reflect growth within some states.

"Low forecast" on how much may be spent on DB projects

Determined from decision rules by state that computed a total DB outlay in 2016 of \$3.5 billion, which is the estimated annualized revenue collected by WDBC members in 2016, extrapolated to a national total assuming that WDBC members constitute 60% of all DB revenues for water and wastewater projects. The decision rules by state also reflect how strong the DB market will be in each state, according to WDBC member ratings.



Forecasted Proportion of Potential Capital Outlays on Design-Build Water and Wastewater Projects throughout the U.S.



DBIA RMR 2017 – WDBC Research Results

Portions © 2017 Water Design-Build Council

WDBC Education Platform

http://waterdesignbuild.com/knowledge-center/research/

- Handbook, 4th Edition, 2016
- Procurement Guides
 - CMAR
 - Progressive Design-Build (PDB)
- Fixed Price Design Build (FPDB)
- Original Research
- Publications, Community Forums, and Blogs
- DBIA Partnership
 - Best Practices
 - W/WW Specialty Conference
 - PDB Contract Document