

RFP PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Rocky Mountain Design Build New Regional Office

DBIA 2009



DBIA ROCKY MTN STUDENT COMPETITION 2009

Evaluation Criteria	Weight per RFP	Total possible weight - assuming 10	BYU		BYU		CSU		CSU		CSU		CU		CSM	
			Combined Ave Score 1 thru 10	Total Wt Score	Combined Ave Score 1 thru 10	Total Wt Score	Combined Ave Score 1 thru 10	Total Wt Score	Combined Ave Score 1 thru 10	Total Wt Score	Combined Ave Score 1 thru 10	Total Wt Score	Combined Ave Score 1 thru 10	Total Wt Score	Combined Ave Score 1 thru 10	Total Wt Score
TOTAL OF ALL JUDGES SCORES																
Team Name			TSM Solutions		BYU Builders		CSU Team Summit Builders		Aggie Construction		Platinum Professional Construction		The Buff Designers		Foundation	
Team Captain			Scot Clark		Steve Matkin		Owen Searls		Daniel Rosin		Reese Lasley		Jonathan Struthers		Jacques Annandale	
A. Evaluation of Delivery Method																
Evaluate pros and cons of the following Design Build issues and benefits. The teams must address the following issues in their response to this RFP: 1) Discuss your management approach to the Design-Build process and the overall benefit to the project. 2) Cost - where are the cost benefits. 3) Schedule - what are the overall schedule benefits. 4) Quality - how is this managed and how do you assure RMDB of a high quality building 5) Risk NOTE: Include's evaluation of cover letter in this point total	10	100	5.83	58.33			6.92	69.17	8.25	82.50	9.08	90.83	9.25	92.50	5.50	55.00
Sub-Total Section "A"				58.33				69.17		82.50		90.83		92.50		55.00
B. Program Statement - Based on the "Project Requirements" below develop your own program statement that you will utilize in the development of your program design and systems estimate. This is critical in determining that you thoroughly understand RMDB expectations and vision. Specifically discuss the following: 1) Critical design program elements 2) Critical design adjacency issues 3) Critical areas of budget and benefits you've provided	25	250	7.25	181.25			7.38	184.38	7.25	181.25	8.25	206.25	7.75	193.75	5.88	146.88
Sub-Total Section "B"				181.25				184.38		181.25		206.25		193.75		146.88
C. Program Design Development - 1. Provide a graphical representation of your design solution, in 11" x 17" format, developed from your "Program Statement". At a minimum you need to present the following: Site Plan / Floor plan(s) / Two exterior elevation 2. Provide a narrative on how your design addresses and resolves the critical program elements and adjacency requirements identified in your Program Statement ("B") above. 3) Provide matrix identified in Project Requirements "C.6" below. 4) Graphically represent how the "future" design of the additional space, identified in Project Requirements "C.6" below, required by RMDB's five (5) year business plan will be integrated with your initial program floor plan in order to maintain the adjacencies required.	12	120	7.17	86.00			7.42	89.00	7.17	86.00	8.33	100.00	7.33	88.00	6.25	75.00
Sub-Total Section "C"				152.25				170.33		165.33		186.17		167.50		106.00
D. Program Schedule - Graphically present a schedule, which meets the requirements of the RFP schedule, by indicating the critical milestones required in order to insure the project's successful completion. This schedule is to be no less than 20 activities and no more than 50 activities and be separated into Preconstruction and Construction activities.	5	50	7.00	35.00			7.25	36.25	6.13	30.63	7.75	38.75	8.00	40.00	5.25	26.25
Sub-Total Section "D"				35.00				36.25		30.63		38.75		40.00		26.25
E. Program Estimate Provide a "Cost Model" systems estimate, "RFP Systems Summary Estimate" included with the RFP, for evaluation and comparison with RMDB's budget estimate. Be sure and read the "Instructions" tab in the Excel File before beginning the estimate. (Note that your detailed estimate will be reviewed for accuracy and cost but is submitted as an "Appendix" for back-up only. This estimate can be in any format you are comfortable with but needs to be logical and follow the same "Systems" breakdowns that is represented in the "RFP Systems Summary" that you are required to submit.) Due to the preliminary nature of the program requirements include a narrative identifying interpretations and assumptions, by systems and work scopes, made in establishing the estimate. Based on the stated owner budget and your systems estimate what are your concerns and / or comments relative to your estimate when compared to RMDB's budget - if any?	16	160	7.67	122.67			6.50	104.00	6.50	104.00	8.92	142.67	8.42	134.67	5.58	89.33
Sub-Total Section "E"				171.42				148.17		141.50		202.08		190.33		140.67
F. Sustainable Design Solutions Use the latest version of the LEED rating system V3 (included with this RFP) as your score card to identify the points you have incorporated in your design. The teams will be evaluated in terms of their demonstrated knowledge in applying sustainability concepts and principles to the building and site. Describe any innovations, construction techniques, ideas or concepts that your firm will implement to assure that the project will obtain LEED Silver.	3	30	6.33	19.00			6.50	19.50	6.83	20.50	7.17	21.50	6.00	18.00	4.17	12.50
Sub-Total Section "F"				42.00				45.17		42.83		49.50		40.00		25.83
G. Innovation and Alternative Design Solutions - Describe any innovations, construction techniques, ideas or concepts that your team has identified to decrease initial first cost while maintaining the quality throughout the life of the project.	3	30	5.33	16.00			3.83	11.50	3.50	10.50	6.00	18.00	5.33	16.00	3.83	11.50
Sub-Total Section "G"				16.00				11.50		10.50		18.00		16.00		11.50
G. Management Systems Provide an organization chart that shows both the Design and Construction phases of the project that your team proposes for this particular project, do not take into consideration RMDB participation	2	20	6.17	12.33			5.83	11.67	6.17	12.33	6.25	12.50	7.33	14.67	0.00	0.00
Sub-Total Section "H"				12.33				11.67		12.33		12.50		14.67		0.00
SCORE TOTALS	100	1000		669				677		667		804		755		512
Notes: 1. The sum of all weights must equal 100 2. The score for each category shall be 0 thru 10 3. All scores will be averaged by category to determine ranking of scores																

Individual Judges ratings:	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th
TSM Solutions			3		2		
BYU Builders							
CSU Team Summit Builders		1		3	1		
Aggie Construction			2	2	1		
Platinum Professional Construction	4	1					
The Buff Designers	1	3			1		
Foundation						5	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	0

5
0
5
5
5
5
5